

Past Performance Questionnaire

for RFP 08SP811424

Past Performance Rating Questionnaire

Company Name: **HAROLD E. NUTTER AND SON, INC.**  
**ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS AND ENGINEERS**  
 Full Name of Evaluator: **FELIPE J. LEON, BE. (US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS)**  
 Phone No or Email address of Evaluator: **(916) 649-0133 X3026**  
**felipe.leon@usace.army.mil**

Bureau of Reclamation Requirement (BOR): BOR intends to procure on-going services to integrated electronic and physical security system that provides all the functions of an effective security system including but not limited to access control, intrusion detection, video-assessment and delay functions to support both local and remote monitoring and response forces. At the Folsom Dam and Powerplant located in the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) Mid-Pacific Region..

Brief Description of Services Performed (Services being Evaluated): **THE ABOVE REFERENCED COMPANY PERFORMED ALL OF WORK FEATURES INCLUDED ON A COMMERCIAL BUILDING FOR BEALE AFB AND FOLSOM DAM GENERATOR BUILDING, IN THE FOLLOWING AREAS: INCOMING ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION (TO INCLUDE MEDIUM VOLTAGE WORK), BUILDING SERVICE AND DISTRIBUTION POWER, LIGHTING, CCTV, SECURITY SYSTEMS (TO INCLUDE ENTRY CONTROL), FIRE ALARM, VOICE/DATA NETWORKING, GROUNDING, PUBLIC ADDRESS, MASS NOTIFICATION, LIGHTNING PROTECTION.**

Contract/Project/Task Order No:	Total Dollar Value (Including Options):	Period of Performance Contract/Project/Task:
1. BEALE AFB - TWO BAY HANGAR	\$	1. 8/2006 TO 4/2008
2. BEALE AFB - RENOVATE DOCK 2	\$	2. 3/2005 TO 7/2006
3. FOLSOM DAM - GENERATOR BUILDING	~10 TO 20 MIL.	3. 10/2004 TO 1/2006

Performance Element	Outstanding	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Other
<b>1. UNDERSTANDING OF REQUIREMENTS</b> (For example: Did the contractor show an understanding of the scope of the requirements and an appreciation of the complexity of the requirements? And did the contractor effectively identify flaws, inconsistencies and other inaccuracies in your technical direction?)	✓			
<b>2. EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT USE OF RESOURCES</b> (For example: Was the contractor able to obtain in a timely manner the amount and type of personnel resources required to support the project, effectively train personnel to perform the work required for the project, and maintain the required workforce throughout the term of the contract?)	✓			
<b>3. TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE</b> (For example: Was the contractor successful in planning and proposing realistic schedules, monitoring performance, completing work on time, and implementing corrections/changes in a timely manner?)	✓			
<b>4. COST CONTROL</b> (For example: Was the contractor successful in planning and proposing realistic costs, monitoring performance, operating at or below budget, and implementing corrections/changes in a cost effective manner?)	✓			

4. ROSEVILLE READINESS CENTER (CALIFORNIA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD) 12/06 - 8/08

## Fast Performance Questionnaire

for RFP 08SP811424

Performance Questionnaire (Continued)	
5. WOULD YOU AWARD ANOTHER CONTRACT TO THIS VENDOR?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO
6. Provide narrative comments (if desired):	
<p>THE HAROLD E. NUTTER COMPANY NEVER FAILED TO PLACE A HIGHLY QUALIFIED FOREMAN ON THE PROJECT. THE FOREMAN NEVER FAILED TO ASK THE RIGHT QUESTIONS, PLAN IN ADVANCE, AND COME UP WITH INNOVATIVE WAYS TO SOLVE PROBLEMS. THIS COMPANY IS EASY TO WORK WITH AND WOULD MAKE A GOOD ADDITION TO YOUR TEAM!</p>	

Please use the following Adjectival Ratings to evaluate the prospective offeror:

Rating	Adjectival Ratings (P.F.)
Excellent	Proposal demonstrates excellent past performance experience that significantly exceeds timeliness standards, Ease of warranty services and turn-around time for warranty service calls and other general deliverable performance areas. The proposal has exceptional probability of success and no risk factors.
Good	Proposal demonstrates good past performance experience that exceeds timeliness standards, Ease of warranty services and turn-around time for warranty service calls and other general deliverable performance areas. The proposal has good probability of success and little risk factors.
Satisfactory	Proposal demonstrates adequate past performance experience that meets timeliness standards, Ease of warranty services and turn-around time for warranty service calls and other general deliverable performance areas. The proposal has fair probability of success and has some risk factors.
Unsatisfactory	Proposal demonstrates inadequate past performance experience likely to cause disruption in meeting timeliness standards, Ease of warranty services and turn-around time for warranty service calls and other general deliverable performance areas. The proposal has poor probability of success and has several high risk factors.

Please e-mail or fax completed Questionnaire to the following Contracting Officer:

[pwrap@do.usbr.gov](mailto:pwrap@do.usbr.gov)

or

Fax to Pearl Wray (303) 445-6345